Phonographic Performance Limited 1975 Pension and Life Assurance Scheme Implementation StatementI Year Ending 30 June 2023

Glossary

ESG	Environmental, Social and Governance
Investment Adviser	First Actuarial LLP
LGIM	Legal & General Investment Management
Scheme	Phonographic Performance Limited 1975 Pension and Life Assurance Scheme
Scheme Year	1 July 2022 to 30 June 2023
SIP	Statement of Investment Principles
UNPRI	United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment

Introduction

This Implementation Statement reports on the extent to which, over the Scheme Year, the Trustees have followed their policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Scheme's investments. In addition, the Implementation Statement summarises the voting behaviour of the Scheme's investment managers and includes details of the most significant votes cast and the use of the services of proxy voting advisers.

In preparing this statement, the Trustees have considered guidance from the Department for Work & Pensions which was updated on 17 June 2022.

Relevant Investments

The Scheme's assets are invested in pooled funds and some of those funds include an allocation to equities. Where equities are held, the investment manager has the entitlement to vote.

At the end of the Scheme Year, the Scheme invested in the following funds which included an allocation to equities:

- LGIM Future World Developed (ex-UK) Equity Index Fund GBP Hedged
- LGIM Future World Global Equity Index Fund
- LGIM Future World Multi-Asset Fund

The Trustees' Policy Relating to the Exercise of Rights

Summary of the Policy

The Trustees' policy in relation to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments is set out in the SIP. The SIP was updated during the Scheme year to reflect changes made to the Scheme's investment strategy, but wording relating to the exercise of rights was not revised. A summary of this wording is as follows:

- The Trustees believe that good stewardship can help create, and preserve, value for companies and markets as a whole and the Trustees wish to encourage best practice in terms of stewardship.
- The Trustees invest in pooled investment vehicles and therefore accept that ongoing engagement with the underlying companies (including the exercise of voting rights) will be determined by the investment managers' own policies on such matters.
- When selecting a pooled fund, the Trustees consider, amongst other things, the
 investment manager's policy in relation to the exercise of the rights (including voting
 rights) attaching to the investments held within the pooled fund.
- When considering the ongoing suitability of an investment manager, the Trustees (in conjunction with their Investment Adviser) will take account of any particular characteristics of that manager's engagement policy that are deemed to be financially material.
- The Trustees will normally select investment managers who are signatories to the UNPRI.
- If it is identified that a fund's investment manager is not engaging with companies the Trustees may look to replace that fund. However, in the first instance, the Trustees would normally expect their Investment Adviser to raise the Trustees' concerns with the investment manager.

Has the Policy Been Followed During the Scheme Year?

The Trustees' opinion is that their policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments has been followed during the Scheme Year. In reaching this conclusion, the following points were taken into consideration:

- There has been no change to the Trustees' belief regarding the importance of good stewardship.
- The Scheme's invested assets remained invested in pooled funds over the period.
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustees introduced an allocation to the following funds: Insight IIFIG Maturing Buy and Maintain 2031-2035 Bond Fund, Insight IIFIG Maturing Buy and Maintain 2036-2040 Bond Fund, Insight IIFIG Maturing Buy and Maintain 2041-2045 Bond Fund, Insight IIFIG Maturing Buy and Maintain 2046-2050 Bond Fund and LGIM 2068 Leveraged Gilt Fund. The Trustees considered the ESG characteristics of the funds before selecting them but, because the funds do not include an allocation to equities consideration of the exercise of voting rights was not relevant.
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustees considered the voting records of the investment manager over the period ending 30 June 2022.
- Since the end of the Scheme Year, an updated analysis of the voting records of the investment based on the period ending 30 June 2023 has been undertaken as part of the work required to prepare this Implementation Statement. A summary of the key findings from that analysis is provided below.
- The investment managers used by the Scheme are UNPRI signatories.

LGIM's Voting Record

A summary of LGIM's voting record is shown in the table below

Investment Manager		Split of votes:			
	Number of votes	For	Against / withheld	Did not vote/ abstained	
LGIM	140,000	76%	24%	1%	

Notes

Split of votes may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

These voting statistics are based on the manager's full voting record over the 12 months to 30 June 2023 rather than votes related solely to the funds held by the Scheme.

Use of Proxy Voting Advisers

Investment Manager	Who is their proxy voting adviser?	How is the proxy voting adviser used?
LGIM	ISS and IVIS	ISS and IVIS provide research and ISS administer votes. However, all voting is determined by guidelines set by LGIM.

Assessment of LGIM's Voting Behaviour

The Trustees have reviewed LGIM's voting behaviour by considering the following:

- broad statistics of LGIM's voting record such as the percentage of votes cast for and against the recommendations of boards of directors (i.e. "with management" or "against management");
- the votes cast by LGIM in the year to 30 June 2023 on the most contested proposals in nine categories across the UK, the US and Europe;
- LGIM's policies and statements on the subjects of stewardship, corporate governance and voting.

The Trustees have also compared the voting behaviour of the investment manager with its peers over the same period.

Further details of the approach adopted by the Trustees for assessing voting behaviour are provided in the Appendix.

The Trustees' key observations are set out below.

Voting in Significant Votes

Based on information provided by the Trustees' Investment Adviser, the Trustees have identified significant votes in nine separate categories. The Trustees consider votes to be more significant if they are closely contested. i.e. close to a 50:50 split for and against. A closely contested vote indicates that shareholders considered the matter to be significant enough that it should not be simply "waved through". In addition, in such a situation, the vote of an individual investment manager is likely to be more important in the context of the overall result.

The five most significant votes in each of the nine categories based on shares held by the Scheme's investment managers are listed in the Appendix. In addition, the Trustees considered each investment manager's overall voting record in significant votes (i.e. votes across all stocks not just the stocks held within the funds used by the Scheme).

Analysis of Voting Behaviour

LGIM

LGIM's willingness to vote against directors is consistent with the broad range of policies covered within its corporate governance documentation; each policy provides criteria which can justify a vote against directors.

LGIM has supported shareholder proposals designed to tackle ESG issues and has held directors to account regarding their energy transition proposals (proposals setting out how greenhouse gas emissions will be reduced).

LGIM have also invested in Tumelo; a technology provider aiming to allows investors in pooled funds the ability to cast their own votes at shareholder meetings. The Trustees' Investment Adviser is currently exploring how this technology might enhance LGIM's stewardship offering and benefit our clients.

The Trustees have no concerns regarding LGIM's voting record..

Conclusion

Based on the analysis undertaken, the Trustees have no material concerns regarding LGIM's voting record.

The Trustees will keep the voting actions of the investment managers under review.

J Evans Date: 31 January 2024

Signed on behalf of the Trustees of the Phonographic Performance Limited 1975 Pension and Life Assurance Scheme

Significant Votes

The table below records how the Scheme's investment manager voted in the most significant votes identified by the Trustees.

Meeting Company Date Proposal		Votes For (%)	Votes Against (%)	LGIM	
Audit & Reporting		Appoint the Auditors			
VEOLIA ENVIRONNEMENT SA	27/04/2023	Appoint the Auditors Appoint the Auditors	72	28	Against
DASSAULT SYSTEMES SE	24/05/2023	Appoint the Auditors Appoint the Auditors	82	18	For
GEBERIT AG TELEPERFORMANCE SE	19/04/2023 13/04/2023	Appoint the Auditors - Deloitte, as statutory auditor	82 85	18 15	Against
SANOFI	25/05/2023	Appoint the Auditors	85	15	Against Against
	23/03/2023	Appoint the Additions	65	13	Agamst
Shareholder Capital & Rights					
VIVENDI SA	24/04/2023	Authorise Share Repurchase of 50% of the Share Capital	69	31	Against
BOUYGUES SA	27/04/2023	Approve authority to increase authorised share capital and issue shares without pre-emptive rights via public offering other than those mentioned in Article L. 411- 21° of the Monetary and Financial Code		27	Against
KONINKLIJKE (ROYAL) KPN NV	12/04/2023	Authorise the Board to Waive Pre-emptive Rights	73 75	25	For
STANDARD LIFE ABERDEEN PLC	10/05/2023	Authorise Share Repurchase	75	25	For
INTEL CORPORATION	11/05/2023	Issuance of Shares for Existing Incentive Plan	76	23	For
	, ,				
Pay & Remuneration					
TRANSDIGM GROUP INCORPORATED	12/07/2022	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	51	48	Against
BIOGEN INC.	26/06/2023	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	52	48	Against
PEARSON PLC	28/04/2023	Approve Remuneration Policy Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	54	46	Against
AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY	02/05/2023	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation Approve the Remuneration Report	53	45	Against
UNILEVER PLC	03/05/2023	Approve the Kemuneration Keport	40	55	Against
Constitution of Company, Board & Advisers					
SAMPO OYJ	17/05/2023	Amend Articles: Virtual Meetings	52	48	Against
SIMON PROPERTY GROUP INC.	04/05/2023	Elect Reuben S. Leibowitz - Non-Executive Director	53	46	Against
FLEETCOR TECHNOLOGIES INC	09/06/2023	Elect Thomas M. Hagerty	55	45	Against
TELECOM PLUS PLC	26/07/2022	Adopt New Articles of Association	55	45	Against
ZALANDO SE	24/05/2023	Elect Kelly Bennett - Vice Chair (Non Executive)	56	44	For
Merger, Acquisition, Sales & Finance					
BOUYGUES SA	27/04/2023	Approve Issuance of Debt Securities Giving Access to New Shares of Subsidiaries	73	27	Against
		Approve Issuance of Debt Securities Giving Access to New Shares of Debt	,,	21	Against
LVMH (MOET HENNESSY - LOUIS VUITTON) SE	20/04/2023	Securities	80	19	Against
DELIVERY HERO SE	14/06/2023	Approve Issuance of Warrants/Bonds with Warrants Attached/Convertible Bonds without Preemptive Rights; Approve Creation of EUR 13.3 Million Pool of Conditional Capital 2023/II to Guarantee Conversion Rights			
MERCK KGAA	28/04/2023	Issue Bonds/Debt Securities	82 88	17 12	Against
HERMES INTERNATIONAL	28/04/2023	Approve Demerger	90	10	For
HERIVIES IN TERNATIONAL	20/04/2023	Approve benierger	90	10	Against
Climate Related Resolutions					
GLENCORE PLC	26/05/2023	Approve the Company's 2022 Climate Report.	68	30	Against
Shell plc	23/05/2023	Approve Shell's Energy Transition	77	19	Against
UBS GROUP AG	05/04/2023	Say on Climate	81	15	For
TOTALENERGIES SE	26/05/2023	Say on Climate	86	11	Against
FERROVIAL S.A.	12/04/2023	Say on Climate	91	8	Against
Other Company Resolutions					
INVESTEC PLC	04/08/2022	Investec plc: Approve Political Donations	70	29	For
BOUYGUES SA	27/04/2023	Approve the Board to Issue Equity Warrants Free of Charge During the Period of a			
		Public Offer for the Company's Shares	74	26	Against
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC	05/05/2023	Meeting Notification-related Proposal	76	23	For
ENERGEAN PLC	18/05/2023	Meeting Notification-related Proposal	82	18	For
MONDI PLC	04/05/2023	Meeting Notification-related Proposal	81	18	For
Governance & Other Shareholder Resolutions					
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY	25/04/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Simple Majority Voting	50	49	For
SYNOPSYS INC	12/04/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings	50	50	For
MCDONALD'S CORPORATION	25/05/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Annual Report on Lobbying Activities	50	49	For
APPLIED MATERIALS INC	09/03/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings	50	50	For
MCKESSON CORPORATION	22/07/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Adopt Policy on 10b5-1 Plans	49	50	For
Environmental & Socially Focussed Shareholder Resolu	tions				
STARBUCKS CORPORATION	23/03/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Assessment of Worker Rights Commitments [7]	51	47	For
THE KROGER CO.	22/06/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Racial and Gender Pay Gaps	52	48	For
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY	25/04/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Annual Report on Prevention of Workplace Harassment and Discrimination	52	43	For
QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INCORPORATED	17/05/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Transition	48	52	For
THE BOEING COMPANY	18/04/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Pay Equity Disclosure	47	52	For
THE BOLLING CONFAINT	10/04/2023	Shareholder Resolution, Fay Equity Disclosure	4/	J2	101

<u>Note</u>

Where the voting record has not been provided at the fund level, we rely on periodic information provided by investment managers to identify the stocks held. This means it is possible that some of the votes listed above may relate to companies that were not held within the Scheme's pooled funds at the date of the vote. Equally, it is possible that there are votes not included above which relate to companies that were held within the Scheme's pooled funds at the date of the vote

Methodology for Determining Significant Votes

The methodology used to identify significant votes for this statement uses an objective measure of significance: the extent to which a vote was contested - with the most Significant Votes being those which were most closely contested.

The Trustees believe that this is a good measure of significance because, firstly, a vote is likely to be contentious if it is finely balanced, and secondly, in voting on the Trustees' behalf in a finely balanced vote, an investment manager's action will have more bearing on the outcome.

If the analysis was to rely solely on identifying closely contested votes, there is a chance many votes would be on similar topics which would not help to assess an investment manager's entire voting record. Therefore, the assessment incorporates a thematic approach; splitting votes into nine separate categories and then identifying the most closely contested votes in each of those categories.

A consequence of this approach is that the total number of Significant Votes is large. This is helpful for assessing an investment manager's voting record in detail but it presents a challenge when summarising the Significant Votes in this statement. Therefore, for practical purposes, the table on the previous page only includes summary information on each of the Significant Votes.

The Trustees have not provided the following information which DWP's guidance suggests could be included in an Implementation Statement:

- Approximate size of the Scheme's holding in the company as at the date of the vote.
- If the vote was against management, whether this intention was communicated by the investment manager to the company ahead of the vote.
- An explanation of the rationale for the voting decision, particularly where: there was a
 vote against the board; there were votes against shareholder resolutions; a vote was
 withheld; or the vote was not in line with voting policy.
- Next steps, including whether the investment manager intends to escalate stewardship efforts.

The Trustees are satisfied that the approach used ensures that the analysis covers a broad range of themes and that this increases the likelihood of identifying concerns about an investment manager's voting behaviour. The Trustees have concluded that this approach provides a more informative assessment of an investment manager's overall voting approach than would be achieved by analysing a smaller number of votes in greater detail.